

Analysing challenging surfaces at the example of dental implants

Véronique Schloupt v.schloupt@dataphysics-instruments.com

DataPhysics Instruments Raiffeisenstraße 34, 70794 Filderstadt, Germany www.dataphysics-instruments.com





About DataPhysics Instruments

- → German manufacturer of high-quality laboratory measurement devices to characterise interfaces and surfaces
- \rightarrow our unique selling points:
 - manufacturing affordable standard solutions as well as bespoke devices for the most challenging experimental tasks
 - → versatile modular device systems solving all measurement challenges
 - → developing intuitive software for all our devices
 - \rightarrow worldwide distribution network
 - → over 25 years of experience in surface science applications



Company Product Portfolio



Company Product Portfolio



Company Product Portfolio



SVT Spinning-Drop-Tensiometer

HGC Humidity Generator and Controller





Surface Measurement: Contact Angle

- \rightarrow the contact angle θ is measured at the contact line between
 - → a solid substrate
 - → a liquid drop and
 - → a surrounding gaseous phase
- → the static contact angle gives an indication about the wetting and absorption of this substrate-liquid-combination
- → contact angles can be used to classify solid substrates according to their wetting behaviour against water
- → contact angle measurements with multiple known test liquids allow to calculate
 - → surface energy of the substrate incl. polar and dispersive parts



Challenge: Structured Surface

- → many real-life products have a structured surface
- → for example, dental implant screws only allow tests in an area of about 700 µm
- → for wetting experiments, that means extremely small drops are needed for contact angle measurements



Solution: Picolitre Drops

- → when big drops are dosed onto structured surfaces, the measured contact angle θ_{app} does not correspond with the actual contact angle θ
 - → measurement is not valid
- → solution: dosing small drops corresponding to the structured surface
 - \rightarrow the measured contact angle θ_{app} is similar to the actual contact angle θ
 - → valid measurement
- → the PDDS picolitre dosing system together with an OCA contact angle meter can realise small drop sizes in the picolitre range







Solution: Picolitre Drops

- → An OCA contact angle meter from Dataphysics Instruments can be fitted with a PDDS picolitre dosing system
 - → uses disposable cartridges
 - → no time-consuming cleaning required
 - → no cross-contamination
 - → acoustic pulses generate smallest droplets
 - → minimal dosing volume: 30 to 380 pl, depending on the liquid's viscosity
 - → dispensing frequency up to 1000 Hz
 - → automated wetting analysis
 - → allows emulation of real production processes, e.g., printing









Surface Measurement: Tensiometry

- → DCAT Tensiometers from DataPhysics Instruments can measure dynamic contact angles, i.e., contact angles in movement
- → do to so, the solid substrate is suspended from a precise balance, located at the top of the device and dipped into and pulled out of the liquid
 - → when dipped into the liquid: advancing contact angle θ_{adv}
 - \rightarrow when pulled out of the liquid: receding contact angle θ_{rec}



Surface Measurement: Tensiometry

\rightarrow experimental set-up:

- \rightarrow use test liquid with known surface tension σ
- → suspend solid sample from the device's balance
- → tare device balance after solid sample was attached to the balance and before it is dipped into the liquid
- \rightarrow to be determined: dynamic contact angles θ of the solid sample body
- \rightarrow force *F* at the balance at an extrapolated height
 - = 0 can also be described as $m_{h=0} \cdot g$
- → width b and length I of the sample body yield the wetted length L (= 2I + 2b)

$$\cos \theta = \frac{m_{h=0} \cdot g}{L \cdot \sigma}$$

- $\rightarrow \theta$ = advancing/receding contact angle
- $\rightarrow m_{h=0} \cdot g = F = \text{mass at height 0}$
- → h = height above the surface
- \rightarrow g = gravitational constant
- \rightarrow L = wetted length of the solid sample body
- $\rightarrow \sigma$ = known surface tension of the liquid



Surface Measurement: Tensiometry

- → the software records the weight change that occurs while the sample is dipped into and pulled out of the test liquid
- \rightarrow it then calculates
 - \rightarrow the advancing contact angle θ_{adv}
 - \rightarrow and the receding contact angle θ_{rec}







Challenge: Hydrophilic Surfaces

- → If surfaces are very wettable using water, they are called extremely hydrophilic
- → such behaviour is desirable in many applications, e.g., when developing dental implant screws
 - → this can be observed as the liquid spreads quickly on the surface
- → measurement challenge:
 - → when measuring extremely hydrophilic surfaces, experiments often yield values greater than 1 for cos θ
 - → the Wilhelmy equation cannot be solved, as cos > 1 = invalid
- → question: how to quantitatively analyse hydrophilic samples?





dataphysics

The concept of imaginary contact angles has been introduced by H. P. Jennissen (Materialwiss. Werkstofftech. Mater Sci Eng Technol. 2011; 42: 1111–7)

Solution: Imaginary Contact Angle

- in experiments, the values for cos θ can be larger than 1; this happens for many extremely hydrophilic surfaces, showing complete wetting
- → no real number can yield a result for cos greater than 1
- → solution: use a complex number to solve the Wilhelmy equation and calculate the 'imaginary' contact angle
 - → a complex number is defined as
 - z = a + bi, where
 - a = real part
 - b = imaginary part
 - i = imaginary unit, solution of the equation $i^2 = -1$
 - \rightarrow using cos (θ *i*) instead of cos (θ), the Wilhelmy equation is solvable even for values above 1







Solution: Imaginary Contact Angle

- → why are some surfaces very wettable?
- → rough or structured surfaces, in particular, experience additional wetting caused by, e.g., capillary forces
- → using imaginary contact angles, these surfaces can be characterised and compared

A) Filling-up of rough surface



B) Complete wetting









Example: Dental Implants

- → challenge: implant surfaces need to be accepted by body tissue
- → in experimental measurements, this translates as a surface with ...
 - \rightarrow ... low contact angle
 - → complete wetting desired
 - → ... high surface energy
 - → parameter to verify a successful pre-treatment and cleaning of the implant
 - → high surface energy leads to better contact between body tissue and implant
 - → estimation of the wetting behaviour and adhesive properties



Example: Plasma-Treated Dental Implants

- → problem: most polymers, and many other surfaces, are not wettable enough for further processing
- → solution: pre-treating surfaces with a low surface energy and high contact angles (also called "functionalisation" or "activation")
 - → better wettability (higher contact angles)
 - → raising polar part of the surface energy
 - → ultra-fine cleaning
 - → optimisation of bonding processes
 - → better acceptability of implants by body tissue







Example: Plasma-Treated Dental Implants







Example: Plasma-Treated Dental Implants



implant 3 and implant 3.1 treated: no spreading was observed during dipping in



Summary: Plasma-Treated Dental Implants



Small Drop Dosing

- → analyse structured substrate surfaces according to their wetting behaviour
- → using static contact angle measurements





Imaginary Contact Angle

- → quantitatively compare extremely hydrophilic substrates according to their wetting behaviour
- → using dynamic contact angle measurements





Thank you!



Véronique Schloupt

v.schloupt@dataphysics-instruments.com

+33 (0)6 8060 0517

DataPhysics Instruments,

Immeuble 1 B – 1er étage 1 rue de Sarre 57070 METZ

www.dataphysics-instruments.com