Modelling and Control of Distributed Parameter Systems: A port-Hamiltonian Approach Stability

Hans Zwart

University of Twente and Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

April 10, 2024

Introduction

As before, we write our p.d.e. as the abstract differential equation on the state space \boldsymbol{X}

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$

Introduction

As before, we write our p.d.e. as the abstract differential equation on the state space \boldsymbol{X}

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$

We assume that this equation has a unique weak solution for every initial condition $x_0 \in X$.

Introduction

As before, we write our p.d.e. as the abstract differential equation on the state space \boldsymbol{X}

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$

We assume that this equation has a unique weak solution for every initial condition $x_0 \in X$.

$$||x(t)|| \le M e^{\omega t} ||x_0||, \quad t \ge 0.$$

We return to our homogeneous pH system. That is, we consider

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}(\zeta,t) = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left[\mathcal{H}(\zeta) x(\zeta,t) \right] + P_0 \left[\mathcal{H}(\zeta) x(\zeta,t) \right]$$
(1)

with the boundary condition

$$W_B \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{H}x) (b,t) \\ (\mathcal{H}x) (a,t) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
(2)

As before/always we assume that the following hold:

- ▶ P_1 is an invertible, symmetric real $n \times n$ matrix;
- P_0 is an anti-symmetric real $n \times n$ matrix;
- For all $\zeta \in [a, b]$ the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{H}(\zeta)$ is real, symmetric, and $mI \leq \mathcal{H}(\zeta) \leq MI$, for some M, m > 0 independent of ζ ;
- W_B be a full rank real matrix of size $n \times 2n$.

<u>Theorem</u> Consider the operator A associated with (1) and (2). Furthermore, we assume that next to the standard conditions the following is satisfied;

• \mathcal{H} is continuously differentiable on the interval [a, b]. Then, if for some positive constant k one of the following conditions is satisfied for all $x_0 \in D(A)$

$$\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le -k \| (\mathcal{H}x_0)(b) \|^2$$

$$\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le -k \| (\mathcal{H}x_0)(a) \|^2,$$

$$(4)$$

the system is exponentially stable.

Since

$$\dot{H}(t)|_{t=0} = \langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

Since

$$\dot{H}(t)|_{t=0} = \langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

we can formulate the condition

$$\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le -k \| (\mathcal{H}x_0)(b) \|^2$$

equivalently as

$$\dot{H}(t)|_{t=0} \le -k \|(\mathcal{H}x_0)(b)\|^2,$$

for all initial conditions $x_0 \in D(A)$.

Since

$$\dot{H}(t)|_{t=0} = \langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

we can formulate the condition

$$\langle Ax_0, x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle x_0, Ax_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le -k \| (\mathcal{H}x_0)(b) \|^2$$

equivalently as

$$\dot{H}(t)|_{t=0} \le -k \|(\mathcal{H}x_0)(b)\|^2,$$

for all initial conditions $x_0 \in D(A)$. Similarly, the condition at $\zeta = a$.

Example: Damped wave equation



Here is α a positive constant.

Example: Damped wave equation



Here is α a positive constant. We assume that ρ and T are continuous differentiable.

We check the contraction property. The condition on P's , \mathcal{H} , and number of boundary conditions are satisfied (check) and so we calculate the power balance (check)

$$\dot{H}(t) = -\alpha \left[T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right]^2 \le 0.$$

So we have a contractive solution for every initial condition in X.

To conclude exponential stability, we need that

$$\dot{H}(t) \le -k \|(\mathcal{H}x)(1,t)\|^2 = -k \left[\left(T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)^2 \right]$$

To conclude exponential stability, we need that

$$\dot{H}(t) \le -k \|(\mathcal{H}x)(1,t)\|^2 = -k \left[\left(T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)^2 \right]$$

Since we have that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)=-\alpha\cdot T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial\zeta}(1,t),$ we find that

$$\begin{split} \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)^2 \\ &= \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 + \alpha^2 \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 \\ &= (1+\alpha^2) \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2. \end{split}$$

To conclude exponential stability, we need that

$$\dot{H}(t) \le -k \|(\mathcal{H}x)(1,t)\|^2 = -k \left[\left(T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)^2 \right]$$

Since we have that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)=-\alpha\cdot T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial\zeta}(1,t),$ we find that

$$\begin{split} \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(1,t)^2 \\ &= \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 + \alpha^2 \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2 \\ &= (1+\alpha^2) \left(T(1)\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)\right)^2. \end{split}$$

Combining this with the result on the previous slide, gives

$$\begin{split} \dot{H}(t) &= -\alpha \left[T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right]^2 \\ &= -\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{1+\alpha^2} \left[\left(T(1) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}(1,t)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{-\alpha}{1+\alpha^2} \| (\mathcal{H}x)(1,t) \|^2. \end{split}$$

Thus we can conclude exponential stability.